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BAD GRAMMAR AND SENSATIONAL STYLE

BY PATRICIA C. GASTER
The Daily Bumble Bee and the Fight for Prohibition in 1890

Long before 1919, when Nebraska ratified the Eighteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution establishing national prohibition, Nebraska’s anti-alcohol sentiment was a contentious force in territorial and state politics. One of the first acts of the territorial legislature was to outlaw the manufacture, sale, and consumption of alcoholic beverages. Although it was never enforced, the law remained in effect from 1855 to 1858, when a saloon-licensing act became law.

In 1867, when Nebraska entered the union, the act of 1858 remained in force. A measure enacted in 1881, the Slocumb “high license” liquor law, raised license fees to $500 for each saloon in towns under 10,000 population and to $1,000 in those over 10,000. A wave of temperance feeling during the late 1880s (promoted by “dry” societies, churches, and the Prohibition Party) culminated in 1890 with a popular vote on a prohibition amendment to the state constitution. On October 30, just five days before the election, pro-amendment (dry) forces in Omaha began publishing a four-column, four-page newspaper called the Daily Bumble Bee for free distribution throughout the city. Produced under the auspices of the Nebraska Non-Partisan Amendment League and the state Prohibition Party, the paper was the brainchild of prohibitionist William Eugene (later “Pussyfoot”) Johnson. Although his name does not appear on the masthead, contemporary newspaper accounts, as well as Johnson’s later autobiographical writings, identify him as the editor of the Bumble Bee. Three issues of the Daily Bumble Bee—October 30, November 1, and November 3—are on microfilm at the Nebraska State Historical Society Library/Archives.

Nebraska has a long history of short-lived temperance newspapers that frequently died from lack of financial support, but Johnson’s Bumble Bee is one of the most unusual. Its turbulent life of less than one week ended as soon as the results of the 1890 election were definitely known. It had fulfilled its purpose—to provide a last-minute boost to the pro-amendment cause.

Opposite: William E. Johnson of Lincoln, founder of the Bumble Bee, went on to a colorful career as a U.S. special agent and acquired the colorful nickname “Pussyfoot.” NSHS RG2092-1-4
Opponents dismissed the Bumble Bee as prohibitionist sensationalism, but Johnson evidently had fond memories of the little paper. His later recollections and other accounts of the fight for the 1890 prohibition amendment often mention the Omaha "temporary daily," sometimes without naming the paper or noting its very brief duration.3

"Pussyfoot" Johnson, who acquired national renown along with his colorful nickname after 1906 while serving as a special agent for the U.S. Department of the Interior to suppress the illegal sale of liquor to Indians in Oklahoma, was already known in Nebraska temperance circles by 1890. Born in New York State on March 25, 1862, he went West as a young man, settling in Dodge County, Nebraska.

He taught school in rural Dodge County for a time in the early 1880s and attended the University of Nebraska. Although he never graduated, Johnson was active in student affairs. He managed the Hesperian Student, the college literary periodical, and engaged in public speaking. After leaving school he went into the real estate business in Lincoln. He was also on the staff of the Lincoln Daily News from 1884 to 1886 and worked for the Nebraska News Bureau.1

Always a total abstainer, Johnson enthusiastically entered the amendment battle in 1890. Less than thirty years old and with an aggressive demeanor, he developed in Nebraska some of the bold tactics he later used in Oklahoma and elsewhere. Posing as a liquor dealer, he sent an inquiry to several anti-prohibitionists on bogus "Johnson's Pale Ale" letterhead, asking for—and receiving—advice on defeating the prohibitory amendment in Nebraska, thus giving prohibition supporters inside information about the tactics to be expected from anti-prohibition forces.

The name of his little paper was inspired by Edward Rosewater's Republican Omaha Bee, a leading anti-amendment influence throughout the state.5 The Democratic World-Herald and other Omaha newspapers (with the exception of the Omaha Leader, edited by George Howard Gibson) opposed the amendment. Omaha, the largest city in the state, was home to several breweries and benefited from the high saloon license fees collected there, making it the center of anti-amendment feeling.5

Among Lincoln and Omaha dailies only the Lincoln Call consistently favored the amendment throughout the campaign, advertising itself as the "leading 'for the amendment' paper in the state." Early in the campaign the Call had realized that prohibition supporters could not succeed without assistance from temperance organizations outside the state. "Prohibitionists everywhere should regard this campaign as a national rather than a state contest," the paper editorialized on June 13, 1890, "and contribute freely in this emergency."7

On October 25, 1890, the Call learned that Omaha postmaster C. V. "Con" Gallagher and his soon-to-be-installed replacement, T. S. Clarkson, were holding more than ten thousand copies of that day's issue at the Omaha post office. Copies of the New York Voice, a national prohibition weekly that raised funds for and reported the pro-amendment fight in Nebraska, and the Omaha Leader also were being held. The Call charged that Gallagher had political motives for refusing to allow distribution of the newspapers and blasted a conspiracy of saloon-keepers and their political tools (including Gallagher and Omaha mayor Richard C. Cushing) for sparing no effort to defeat the amendment.8

Omaha postal authorities offered several excuses for their delaying action. They described the copies of the Call and Voice as sample copies that did not demand immediate delivery. Postal
have you seen

the omaha leader,
the leading reform paper of the west!

strenuously opposed to saloons and
saloon rule, advocating the rights
of labor, determined that just-

ice shall be secured to all.

it seeks and spreads the truth is fear-

lessly loyal to it, its mission is to make it

known. money or the lack of money can-

not swerve it from principle. its aim is
to do good. a morally healthful,

science-enlightening family paper, ask-
ing your sympathy and support.

one dollar per year.

address:
geo. h. gibson,
editor and publisher.
omaaha, neb.

have you seen

the new republic.

a prohibition weekly paper.

state organ

of the

prohibition party.

one dollar per year.

published by

h. w. hardy, ed.

lincoln, neb.

authorities charged the leader with offering prenu-

ums to readers who recruited new subscribers for

the paper, an alleged violation of a federal anti-

lottery law. the call sent staff member sam d. cox
to omaha to try to secure delivery of the papers,

and prohibitionists threatened legal action. the

call appealed to postal authorities in washington

and finally secured a federal order on october 29

instructing gallagher to deliver the delayed copies

of the call and voice. however, gallagher did not

promptly comply.

attempts to use other methods to get the news-
papers into the hands of omaha voters also failed.
cox tried to hire the american district telegraph,

which regularly delivered advertising material,

but the a.d.t. refused his request to deliver the

impounded issues of the call or any other prohibi-
tionist literature. johnson and a prohibition

attorney visited the a.d.t. office but failed to

persuade the company to relent.

johnson, a correspondent for both the call

and voice, was determined to reach the voters of

omaha with the pro-amendment message. on

october 30, 1890, less than a week before election
day, he launched the afternoon daily bumble bee

into battle against its chief opponent, rosewater's

bee. readers were told that the bumble bee's mis-

sion was to "tell the truth, correct the infamous lies

that are scattered by a subsidized press, and give

the citizens of omaha a chance to reach the public

in a truthful manner." it changed the bee and the

world-herald with treating prohibitionists unfairly

and warned, "we do not propose to let public

censor gallagher suppress us on account of being

sample copies." johnson hired the standard

printing company to print the newspapers, and

newsboys throughout the city distributed them

free.

political opinion in nebraska regarding prohibi-
tion was complex in 1890. the republican party

was divided on the liquor question. a majority of

its members were dry, and republican state legis-
lators had urged in 1889 that the question of

constitutional prohibition be put to the people in
1890. However, there was an active wet element within the party. Two leading dailies on opposite sides of the amendment issue, the “pro” Lincoln Call and the “anti” Omaha Bee, were both Republican. Democrats adopted an anti-prohibition plank in their 1890 party platform, with many favoring the existing high-license system, and concentrated on the election of former Omaha mayor James E. Boyd, an outspoken opponent of prohibition, to the governorship. The People’s Independent ticket was predominantly dry but tried to sidestep the issue, believing that a formal endorsement of prohibition would detract from its overall economic objectives.12

Paine had arrived in Lincoln in 1878, established a homeopathic medical practice, and in 1884 entered business with J. E. Miller to form what later became the Miller and Paine department store. Woodbey, a self-educated native of Tennessee, became an ordained Baptist minister in 1874 and served churches in Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska. He left the Republican Party because it was “too cowardly to take up this fight for prohibition,” serving not only as Nebraska’s Prohibition Party candidate for lieutenant governor in 1890, but as the party’s candidate for Congress in 1894. He later bolted the party to endorse William Jennings Bryan in his failed 1896 presidential campaign. By 1900 Woodbey had turned to socialism and in 1902 left Nebraska for San Diego.13

From the beginning the Bumble Bee viewed itself as a David against Rosewater’s Goliath. When the Bee criticized Woodbey as "an Omaha gent of off color, whose zest for the cause can only be measured by the boodle in sight," the Bumble Bee leaped to his defense. Calling Woodbey "a credit to his race ... who can stand on the platform and defend himself at any time against all comers," the paper asked rhetorically, "[W]ill the colored voters of Omaha submit to such indignities?"14

The effects of prohibition in the neighboring dry states of Iowa and Kansas were discussed in the first issue of the Bumble Bee, that of October 30. The paper criticized Charles Conoyer of the Omaha Board of Education for his letter to Nebraska teachers attacking prohibition in Iowa and Kansas. The letter, written on official board
oppose a candidate on his ballot, he "scratched" printed ballot to make his own. Should a straight ticket, any voter was free to alter a party's candidates. Voters carried their ballots to the polling place. Although voters were urged to vote a straight ticket, any voter was free to alter a party-printed ballot or to make his own. Should a voter oppose a candidate on his ballot, he "scratched" the ticket by crossing out the unwanted person's name.

The Nebraska State Legislature of 1889 had provided that a proposed prohibitory amendment to the state constitution be submitted to voters on the first Monday in November 1890. A second proposed amendment, providing for the license and regulation of the sale of liquor, was to be submitted to the people at the same time, with the provision that if either of the proposed amendments were approved by a majority of the electors, it would become part of the state constitution. The November 1 issue of the Bumble Bee printed the four choices relating to the liquor issue to be offered at the bottom of each ballot, and instructions for marking ballots in favor of the prohibitory amendment:

FOR proposed amendment to the Constitution prohibiting the manufacture, sale, and keeping for sale of intoxicating liquors as a beverage.

AGAINST said proposed amendment to the Constitution prohibiting the manufacture, sale, and keeping for sale of intoxicating liquors as a beverage.

FOR proposed amendment to the Constitution that the manufacture, sale, and keeping for sale of intoxicating liquors as a beverage in this state shall be licensed and regulated by law.

AGAINST said proposed amendment to the Constitution that the manufacture, sale, and keeping for sale of intoxicating liquors as a beverage shall be licensed and regulated by law.

The intent of the state legislature in placing both amendments on the ballot was to give voters an opportunity to pass one and defeat the other.
Many voters, however, were confused by the proximity and similar initial wording of the two measures, and many marked ballots didn’t reflect the voter’s intentions. The *Nebraska State Journal* of Lincoln pointed out that persons desiring to vote for either one of the two amendments must “be careful to see that they vote affirmatively and scratch the negative vote relating to that proposition.” No affirmative vote at all—as well as a scratch of all four choices—would be interpreted as a vote against both amendments.20

The *Journal*, which opposed the prohibitory amendment, accused prohibitionists of circulating ballots in which the amendment propositions were illegally altered “in the hope that ignorance or carelessness may increase the number of votes for prohibition,” a misdemeanor under state law punishable by a fine of not less than fifty dollars nor more than two hundred dollars and imprisonment for sixty days in the county jail. Victor Rosewater in his biography of his father, Edward, wrote that much of the disorder in Omaha during the November 4, 1890, voting occurred when prohibitionists offering illegally printed ballots to voters were chased from the polls. Prohibitionists, in turn, accused their opponents of circulating illegally altered ballots that did not allow a vote for the amendment.21

Besides attempting to get their party’s ballots into the hands of Omahans, prohibitionists tried to prevent ineligible voters from casting ballots. They viewed with alarm the report that the number of polling places in Omaha at the end of October had been increased from twenty to forty-one and that about five thousand more names would shortly be added to the eighteen thousand Omaha voters already registered. Prohibitionists scrutinized registration lists and considered stationing party workers at polling places on Election Day to challenge individual voters.22

The *Bumble Bee* and other prohibitionist papers questioned the accuracy of the 1890 census in Nebraska, particularly in the “tough districts” of Omaha, and charges circulated that names had been added to census lists in a scheme to allow wets to control the ballot box. The *Call* warned of “doctored” poll books printed by the Republican Printing Company of Omaha that could result in incorrect recording of the amendment vote. In his 1931 history of the state, Addison E. Sheldon, who had personal memories of the 1890 election, asserted his belief that not only had thousands of fraudulent ballots been cast in Douglas County, but that election officials had deliberately held back precinct returns so that they could manufacture enough additional illegal votes to overcome any adverse majority in outstate Nebraska.23

A curious incident that embarrassed the prohibitionists just prior to the election concerned the “Free Grand Barbecue” advertised by the *Bumble Bee* on October 30 for the afternoon of Sunday, November 2 at prohibition headquarters in Amendment Hall at 1307 Douglas Street in Omaha. According to the *Bumble Bee*, Edward Rosewater was to be “roasted” by John P. St. John. As governor of Kansas (1879–83) St. John had successfully supported a prohibition amendment to the Kansas constitution and had been speaking publicly in Nebraska on behalf of the proposed amendment.24

However, the advertised roast never took place, and the *Bumble Bee*, on November 1, announced that St. John would speak at Amendment Headquarters the following day. There was no mention of Rosewater. The editor of the *Bee* out-maneuvered his opponents by challenging St. John to a debate at the Omaha Coliseum also to be held on November 2, the same afternoon as the proposed roast and the Amendment Hall event.

St. John did not go to the coliseum to meet Rosewater, saying he had not learned of the challenge until he reached Omaha. The suspicious pointed out that St. John must have known of the challenge, since he had told the *Call* the night before in Lincoln that he declined to accept it.25

On November 2 the Kansas ex-governor spoke alone, as he had originally planned, to a crowded house of about seven hundred people in Amendment Hall. A *World-Herald* reporter who attended complained, “Every one who entered the door was treated to a copious dose of *Lincoln Call* and *Bumble Bee* and divers other forms of erratic literature in the bad grammar and sensational style of the imported prohibitionists.”

At the coliseum Rosewater spoke alone to more than five thousand people—an event marred only near its conclusion by prohibitionist Charles Waits’s unexpected ascent to the stage to read the crowd a telegram from St. John, stating again that he had not known of Rosewater’s challenge in time to accept it.

---

Kansas ex-Governor St. John was to “roast” *Bee* publisher Edward Rosewater at a barbecue, but when Rosewater challenged him to a debate, St. John instead spoke alone at Amendment Headquarters. *Daily Bumble Bee*, Nov. 1, 1890.
We have the drunkard-makers on the run.

The *Bumble Bee* on November 3 tried to bury the botched affair, complaining that it had announced the roast in good faith, but "the Ex-Governor absolutely refused to keep the appointment, saying that while he was something of a cannibal, and loved the taste of human flesh, yet he drew the line on Rosewater."26

The November 1 *Bumble Bee* assailed the anti-amendment State Business Men's and Bankers' Association, claiming that the association had been repudiated by 64 percent of Nebraska's bankers. Headed by Charles A. Coe, with Edward P. Roggen as secretary, the newly formed group sought to defend the commercial and industrial prosperity of the state against a prohibitory amendment driven by what it called "delusive sentimentalism." Roggen, secretary of state 1883-87, had toured Nebraska seeking signatures for an anti-amendment statement published by Rosewater's *Bee* on June 21 that also announced to the public the formation of the association.27

The November 1 *Bumble Bee* sought to counter the claims of "Roggen. Rosewater & Co.," that, should Nebraska adopt prohibition, eastern capitalists would seek other fields in which to invest their wealth. A reprint from the New York *Voice* of excerpts from Dr. George L. Miller's letters to A. Lucius Rodman, secretary of the New York State Protective Association, seemed to indicate that the Nebraska State Business Men's and Bankers' Association was funded and controlled by Omaha liquor dealers.28

M. L. Holt, who had resigned from Omaha's Park Place Congregational Church to organize the city's churches on behalf of prohibition, defended the role played by Omaha ministers in the fight for the amendment in the columns of the *Bumble Bee*. Civil War veteran and Universalist minister Q. H. Shinn labeled as obstructionists those who believed prohibition would be impossible to enforce. Lincoln attorney Wolfenbarger, legal representative of the New York *Voice* in Nebraska, predicted success in the rapidly approaching election. "We have the drunkard-makers on the run," Wolfenbarger told the *Bumble Bee*. "Webster is growing weary and Rosewater is fairly crazy."29

The November 1 *Bumble Bee* also attempted to counter unfavorable publicity caused by the recent arrest of John Yardley, a clerk employed at Amendment Headquarters. Yardley was suspected of embezzling funds from a previous employer in Montreal and was apprehended and jailed in Omaha, much to the embarrassment of his strait-laced, pro-amendment friends. Rosewater's *Bee* reported the incident gleefully, accusing Yardley not only of thievery, but also of drunkenness and "spying" for the prohibitionists.30

The spying charge was one frequently leveled against pro-amendment workers. Johnson himself had been accused of roaming Omaha under the assumed name of Dean, identifying himself as a

---

**Enemies on the Run**

**Wolfenbarger Says the Amendment Victory is In Sight.**

**WE ARE GOING TO WIN.**

**The Prohibitionists Have Out-Generated the Rummies at Every Turn.**

The *Bumble Bee* met A. G. Wolfenbarger, the Lincoln lawyer at the Paxton yesterday and asked about the outlook for prohibition in the state.

"We have the drunkard-makers on the run," said Mr. Wolfenbarger. "Webster is growing weary and Rosewater is fairly crazy. Even the fat and cool schemer Roggen has about given up the fight. The registration in Omaha shows that the repeaters

---

Omaha attorney Andrew Wolfenbarger, a Prohibition Party leader, may have fully expected victory or simply predicted victory as an election tactic.

*Daily Bumble Bee*, Nov. 1, 1890

---
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correspondent for Kansas City and St. Louis newspapers while gathering information useful to the prohibitionists. In defense of Yardley, the Bumble Bee replied that he had not been spying—he had merely been checking voter registration lists. But the newspaper did concede that he had "monkeyed a little too much with the wine cup" and placed all blame for his alleged misdeeds on the saloon, declaring, "[W]e will continue the fight for its extermination."

Although prohibitionists sometimes used highly visible methods (such as assembling an "ex-liquor legion" of reformed drunkards in Lincoln to march in a solid phalanx to the polls on November 4), the anti-amendment forces in Omaha were accused of using even more aggressive tactics. On the day before the election, November 3, the Bumble Bee regaled its readers with details of an attack on Eddie Richardson, a newsboy distributing copies of the Call and Bumble Bee on the streets of
Omaha beer drinkers at a saloon on Thirteenth Street between Harney and Farnam, 1886.
The prohibition amendment was voted down in Douglas County by 23,918 to 1,555 votes.

Omaha. When Johnson tried to intervene, said the Bumble Bee, the alleged assailants, L. M. Rheem, an A.D.T. manager, and several friends, rushed Johnson. After a scuffle, Johnson and prohibitionist Lem J. Smith, who came to Johnson's aid, were arrested and jailed.32

The Call published Sam Cox's extended account of the incident, noting that both Johnson and Smith were charged by Omaha police with carrying concealed weapons, and Johnson was also charged with assault. Rheem was not charged. Johnson and Smith were released from jail several hours later, and Johnson eventually paid a fine of $7.50 in police court. An adulatory biography of Johnson by F. A. McKenzie, published in 1920, depicts a heroic young reformer rescuing the newsboy, hiding his gun up his sleeve when apprehended by slow-witted police, and then solemnly handing it to them at the station.33

Rosewater's Bee and the World-Herald, predictably, portrayed Rheem as the innocent victim of an unprovoked attack by Johnson and Smith. Johnson in 1890 was described as rugged and "well built," with a heavy jaw and dark brown mustache. The Bee, noting that $115 had been found on him at the time of his arrest, told readers that he "looked...like a disreputable person who had sought to work the prohibitionists and had done it very successfully."34

Other incidents involving "whiskey bullies" attacking distributors of prohibition newspapers appeared in the November 3 Bumble Bee. State university students defended their professors from charges of trying to influence the student vote. George B. "Bishop" Skinner, a Lincoln livery stable owner best known for his work with the local Red Ribbon temperance club, contributed to the colorful campaign rhetoric. Readers were urged to "Remember W. B. Smith," a U.S. Circuit Court clerk whose unsolved shooting death in 1881 was thought to have been planned by the Omaha liquor element. Baptist minister A. W. Lamar defended his right to discuss prohibition from the pulpit. Omaha mayor Cushing was denounced for his declaration, "All is fair in war. Let us consider this war and defeat prohibition, if not in one way, then in another."35

Also in the November 3 issue of the Bumble Bee was a tongue-in-check "universal directory of mankind," consisting of two classes of society: those who supported the prohibitory amendment and those who didn't. The former included churches, wives, sweethearts, the Woman's Christian Temperance Union, the "better class" of lawyers, thinking businessmen, and God. The latter included saloons, bums, thugs, the Bee, the World-Herald, the Nebraska State Journal, the Omaha A.D.T., and the State Business Men's and Bankers' Association. A separate plea for passage of the amendment carried the names and occupations of more than one hundred Omahans.36

Newspaper rhetoric from the closing days of the heated amendment campaign of 1890 sounds strident and bigoted to a modern ear. The prohibi-

---

IN 1890
THE AVERAGE PER CAPITA CONSUMPTION OF ALL LIQUOR, INCLUDING BEER WAS 13.21 GALLONS.
tionists were well aware that Nebraska's heavy ethnic vote would work against them. "It is horrible to think that so many saloon keepers are of foreign birth," said the November 3 Bumble Bee, noting in a separate article that of the 129 names of anti-amendment ministers published by Rosewater, "only two Americans [were] in the whole lot." Rosewater, in turn, complained in his November 2 speech at the Omaha Coliseum that he had received numerous threatening letters and read to his audience a recent one postmarked Nelson, Nebraska:

> If prohibition is defeated, four of us have decided that you must die, and Webster, too. It will take time, but we will not let you slip, nor him, either. . . . We thought we would give you and him one chance for your worthless carcasses, and only one.  

Despite all the effort and invective the prohibitionists could muster, the amendment drive failed. The statewide vote on prohibition was 82,292 for the amendment and 111,728 against it. In Douglas County the vote was 1,555 for and 23,918 against. The amendment to make the licensing of saloons a constitutional measure also failed to pass. With both amendments defeated, the state was left with the existing high license regulation. James E. Boyd, the successful Democratic nominee for governor, received 71,331 votes. Powers, the People's Independent candidate, received 70,187, and Richards, the Republican candidate, 68,878.  

B. L. Paine of the Prohibition Party waged a vigorous campaign to the end, receiving 3,676 votes. Considerable excitement was caused by the last-minute discovery that a number of Prohibition Party tickets had been sent out with the name of gubernatorial nominee Paine scratched and that of Republican candidate Richards written in. Paine denied forcefully that he had withdrawn in favor of the Republican candidate, and told the press he would continue in the race until the polls were closed.  

Anti-prohibitionists, especially in Omaha, were jubilant when the results of the election became known, although some were surprised by the landslide vote against the amendment. They had predicted that, if passed, it would discourage new settlement in Nebraska, drive out capital, cause property values to decline, and throw laborers out of work as businesses failed. The State Journal welcomed the decisive defeat of prohibition, noting that the people of Nebraska seemed to prefer regulating the liquor traffic by the existing high license statutes.  

However, many pro-amendment campaigners seemed stunned. The Call had claimed in August that four hundred of the six hundred Nebraska newspapers favored the amendment. As late as November 1 Wolfenbarger, ever optimistic, predicted in the Bumble Bee a sweeping majority for the amendment, boasting, "The Prohibitionists have outgeneraled the rummies at every turn." More than thirty-five years after the election Johnson still insisted that in the late 1880s public opinion in Nebraska had been "overwhelmingly" in favor of prohibition, as evidenced by the growth of the Prohibition Party and the favorable declarations of church bodies and other organizations.  

A notice of contest was filed almost immediately amid denunciations of conditions surrounding the voting in Omaha and accusations of a conspiracy by wet politicians to defeat the will of the people. Sheldon recalled an election day in which prohibition workers at the polls were beaten and driven away. The New York Voice said in a dispatch from Omaha, "The whole city is given entirely over to the whisky mob. There is riot and bloodshed in nearly every ward." Post-election complaints by
the *Call* (which prohibitionist C. E. Bentley praised as the "only daily in Lincoln and Omaha that championed our cause firmly and effectively to the close") accused anti-amendment forces of attacking prohibitionists with fists, rocks, tin cans, and eggs.¹³

However, Victor Rosewater, who with his father had lived through the 1890 amendment campaign, later railed against the "base fabrications concocted and published by imported prohibition campaigners," who he believed had impugned his father's good name and motives in opposing the prohibitory amendment. The younger Rosewater accused them of harping on "Rum, Roggen and Rosewater" and of wiring sensational stories to eastern newspapers, especially the *New York Voice*. Most of the problems with the election in Omaha precincts, he wrote, resulted from the driving away from the polls of peddlers of bogus party ballots intended to increase the vote for prohibition.¹¹

The taking of testimony in the case of the contested election commenced at Lincoln on December 4, 1890, and the last two weeks of December were devoted to taking testimony in Omaha. It revealed that there was considerable irregularity at the polls, but the large amount of conflicting information collected in each city did not result in any action that invalidated the election.¹²

Nebraska voters did adopt a prohibitory amendment to the state constitution twenty-six years later in 1916—a complete reversal of sentiment since 1890—and it took effect in May 1917, two years before the Eighteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution outlawed liquor nationwide.

Johnson went on after 1890 to other temperance and prohibition battles. From 1891 to 1895 he lived in Kansas City, where he worked as a Republican candidate for governor Lucius D. Richards finished third, losing both wet and dry votes by refusing to take a stand on prohibition. Morning World-Herald, Nov. 5, 1890

---

**MR. RICHARDS—"THE TWO FACED MAN."**

"You Know How I Stand on Prohibition."
newspaperman and reformer. In 1896 he moved to New York City, where he joined the staff of the Voice (after 1900 the New Voice). He became a prominent member of the Anti-Saloon League, established in 1893, working with their American Issue Publishing Company, and his efforts as a “dry” campaigner in dozens of state and local elections were often a determining factor in their success. His career as a special agent in Oklahoma for the U.S. Department of the Interior to suppress the illegal sale of liquor to Indians from 1906 to 1911 made the name of “Pussyfoot” Johnson, a household word in America. After the Eighteenth Amendment establishing national prohibition was ratified in January 1919, Johnson went on the lecture circuit. By the time of his death in 1945 he was also a familiar figure in foreign countries, where he joined forces with organizations trying to control intoxicants. During his career he made three trips around the world as a lecturer and worker for the temperance cause.

Johnson spent his retirement years in reading, writing occasional prohibition articles, and compiling a Johnson family history. He spoke in Lincoln in October 1927, and visited old temperance friends there. One of his last visits to Nebraska was in November 1933 to assist in an unsuccessful campaign to retain statewide prohibition after the national law was scheduled to end in December. Nebraska was not one of the thirty-six states (out of a total of forty-eight then) that voted to ratify the twenty-first amendment to repeal national prohibition, but in November 1934, Nebraskans did vote to repeal the state’s constitutional prohibition by a 60- to 40-percent margin.

Near the end of his life Johnson seemed resigned to these defeats. He made no apologies for the drys, confessing that he had been as zealous as the rest in pursuing their agenda, even when it had ceased to be supported by the rest of the country. “It will return some day,” he said after the repeal of national prohibition, “but not in our time.”
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