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A CHURCH FOR THE PEOPLE AND A PRIEST FOR THE COMMON MAN:

Charles W. Savidge,
Omaha’s Eccentric Reformer

BY PAUL PUTZ
The winds of reform remained even after the Populists left town. A mere ten days later, another group of citizens claiming to represent the common people gathered in Omaha. Believing that the current establishment did not meet the needs of the masses, they formulated a mission statement and instituted a set of rules for their new movement. There was little doubt as to the eventual name of the organization. It would be named the People’s Church of Omaha, the 1892 movement of age as the Populists gathered in Omaha to give their movement a formal political platform and to select a candidate for their party. The new People’s Party approved the Omaha Platform on July 4, which included such demands as the free coinage of silver, a graduated income tax, and direct election of senators.

The city of Omaha, meanwhile, provided the arena in which Savidge could carry out his duty. As he told a newspaper reporter in 1899, “the city is my parish.”

Even if his accomplishments hardly match his aspirations, there is historical insight to be gained from analyzing his aspirations in the first place and his attempts to make them a reality. On the one hand, they are a reflection of what Jackson Lears called a nationwide “yearning for regeneration” characteristic of the American cultural milieu in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century. On the other hand, they are born out of Savidge’s unique experiences. Bringing Savidge’s career to light adds to recent historiography related to the evangelical reform impulse so prevalent in the Gilded Age and Progressive Era, an impulse which recent historians have shown cannot be neatly divided into the “liberal/modernist” and “fundamentalist/reactionary” camps that became prominent in the 1920s. Although one of the few historians to investigate Savidge described him as a fundamentalist, Savidge does not exactly fit that label. The early fundamentalists were a collection of conservative evangelical Protestants who gained a national identity in the 1920s. They were militant—opposed to modernism and placed a very high value on having, in historian George Marsden’s words, “a strong concern for the exact meaning of the printed word.” Savidge, although he shared the fundamentalist desire to repel creeping liberalism, tended to be rather loose in his theological precision. For example, Savidge claimed that “a living Christian is better than an acute theologian,” and he utilized a vast array of ideological influences in his sermons. Also, unlike the later fundamentalists of the 1920s, Savidge incorporated social welfare as an integral part of his ministry. Far from being a reactionary and separatist zealot, he proved to be a thoroughly modern innovator, a religious

Charles W. Savidge was born in 1850 in Ohio. His father, Charles H. Savidge, fought for the north in the Civil War and eventually became a farmer and an itinerant Methodist minister.\textsuperscript{11} Charles W. wrote that in 1870 he “left my father’s farm and with five dollars in my pocket” enrolled in the University of Minnesota at Minneapolis.\textsuperscript{12} Less than two percent of the eighteen-to-twenty-one-year-olds in the United States attended college in 1870, making Savidge’s degree a rare commodity.\textsuperscript{13} After completing college in seven years, Savidge took a job as a Methodist minister for a congregation in Mankato, Minnesota, a move which he interpreted later in life to have been divinely sanctioned. While in Mankato, it appears that Savidge came into contact with congregants who were part of the burgeoning holiness movement, and he credited them with exposing him to “the wonderful life in God that I was a stranger to.” He wrote that “They had the uttermost salvation, the baptism of the Holy Spirit, and their words and conduct left a deep and permanent impression on my mind.” The blending of practical Christianity with holiness movement spirituality became a trademark of Savidge’s approach to social problems.\textsuperscript{14}

In 1882, Savidge moved from Minnesota to Omaha and accepted the pastorate of the First Methodist Church.\textsuperscript{15} Omaha was emerging at the time as one of the gateway cities to the West. Buoyed by the transcontinental railroad, between 1880 and 1890 Omaha grew by about 75,000 people, and in 1900 Omaha was the fourth largest city of the trans-Missouri West, trailing only San Francisco, Kansas City and Denver.\textsuperscript{16} Although Omaha was embedded within a largely rural and agricultural region of the United States, its business leaders and residents tended to be influenced more by the urban sensibilities of the conservative establishment of the East than by such rural political movements as Populism.\textsuperscript{17} Like other growing transportation hubs in America at the time, Omaha attracted an itinerant work force which did not necessarily live by the standards of Victorian morality, and the vices of drinking, gambling, and prostitution flourished.\textsuperscript{18} With little in the way of an established social welfare system, church-led and private philanthropic organizations were the only means of combating homelessness and poverty, and although some of Omaha’s wealthy citizens lent a hand, one Omaha historian noted that “most unfortunates were left to fend for themselves.”\textsuperscript{19} Another problem for Omaha, at least in the eyes of those who believed in the civilizing power of Christianity, was that in 1890 Omaha had the lowest percentage of religious communicants of any city west of the Mississippi.\textsuperscript{20}

Upon his arrival in Omaha, Savidge took to investigating the conditions of the city and then using his findings in sermons. A fellow Omaha pastor described Savidge’s style by noting that “It was not his habit so much to examine a subject for discussion as to find something to say that would interest
In 1890 Omaha had the lowest percentage of religious communicants of any city west of the Mississippi.

SAMMIE JONES, PASTOR OF SOCIAL REFORM

his hearers. He appropriated the daily occurrences and scenes met with in his rounds of visiting, and applied them to good advantage in preaching.

Savidge’s investigations served a dual purpose. He learned about the lives of people he was trying to reach, making it easier to apply his common-sense brand of Methodism to their daily experiences. Savidge’s interactions with the common “people on the streets” also gave him a better sense of which topics would attract the largest crowds, and throughout his career he displayed a penchant for drumming up publicity. The appearance in 1886 of noted revivalist Sam Jones in Omaha provided further direction for Savidge in how to attract publicity in the name of social reform.

Other than Dwight Moody, Jones was the best-known revivalist of his day. With quick wit and humor, Jones represented an urban revivalism which sought to reform cities by cleansing them of vice and sin. Although Jones was a southern Methodist who claimed to be baptized by the Holy Spirit, his approach to reform revealed a shared assumption with theoretically liberal social gospelers, mostly northerners, who would have rejected other aspects of his sensationalist style. The social gospel movement, which gained traction among a select group of Protestant intellectuals and pastors in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, emphasized the need for Christians to move beyond mere evangelism and doctrine in order to fully Christianize the social and institutional elements of society in practical ways. Revivalists like Jones and Savidge should not be mistaken for socially conscious revivalism that existed at the turn of the twentieth century.

Sam Jones came to Omaha in November of 1886 after holding a revival in Toronto and immediately caused a stir. A newspaper report claimed that the crowd which came to see Jones on the second night was “the largest audience that ever greeted a public speaker in Omaha.” From November 8 until November 30 Jones preached sermons geared towards reforming Omaha. He warned Omaha’s citizens that the city “will never be what you want it to be on the line you are now running with two or three hundred barroom doors wide open and your gambling halls advertising on the Sabbath.”

The measure of success for his campaign, he told a reporter, could be found not in souls saved but in “moral improvement and increasing church membership.” Although it would be difficult to measure the impact Jones’s revival had on the morals of Omaha’s citizens, it seemed to especially influence Charles Savidge, who was present for many of Jones’s sermons. Savidge, clearly impressed with what he observed, called Jones’s revival a “grand triumph” and declared that Jones did “more good than any evangelist we have ever had in Omaha.” Soon after Jones’s departure from Omaha, Savidge began preaching “sensational sermons which attracted more people than the house would accommodate.”

Savidge’s sermon series followed in Jones’s footsteps by articulating an aggressive practical Christianity that could meet the needs of Omaha’s citizens. He addressed his sermons to specific vocational groups, including street car operators, salesmen, and domestic servants, and offered pointed advice on how each could be better Christians. Savidge also tackled the typical personal moral issues of the time such as Sabbath-breaking, temperance, and the use of tobacco. His handling of an item of hot debate amongst Omaha’s clergy, playing baseball on the Sabbath, was indicative of Savidge’s typical approach. He showed at least face-level empathy for working class baseball fans, recognizing that Omaha’s baseball associations felt an economic need to play Sunday games. However, Savidge noted, being poor was not an excuse to be wicked. He took care to acknowledge the benefits of baseball, calling it a “healthful and manly sport,” and demonstrated familiarity with the game by rattling off the names of famous players such as Cap Anson. Sam Jones railed against baseball playing on any day, but Savidge, for his time and vocation, took a moderate approach, asking only that it be kept off the calendar on Sunday. After all, Savidge said, even “the free thinker, the infidel, [and] the atheist needs a Sabbath for the contemplation of a universe without a God.”

When one of Omaha’s most influential newspapers, the Omaha Bee, began publishing Savidge’s sermons, the crowds appeared in droves and Savidge gained regional notoriety. The Bee’s editor, Edward Rosewater, a “colorful and controversial” Bohemian Jew and one of Omaha’s most important political figures, occasionally offered editorial comment on Savidge’s sermons, which only brought him more publicity. Rosewater’s promotion of a Christian pastor like Savidge was not unusual. For example, Rosewater also gave money to Christian organizations such as the First Methodist Church and the YMCA. Even though newspapers as far away as Fort Worth, Texas, also took note of Savidge’s sermons, not everyone was impressed. An editorial in a Lincoln newspaper, albeit one that was regularly dismissive of its rival Nebraska city,
described Savidge as “the minister who created a mild sensation in Omaha by talking common sense from the pulpit” which “seemed to be a novelty for Omaha.”

Even if the sermon series caused only a minor stir, Savidge’s career was irrevocably changed. He collected the best of his practical sermons printed by the Bee and put them together in 1888 as part of a book called Shots from the Pulpit. Fittingly, Sam Jones, the man who seems to have inspired Savidge on his course of practical social reform, penned the introduction. Rosewater deemed the book a “very readable little volume” and said it should be “commended upon two points—the brevity of each sermon, and the practical subject treated upon.” For his part, Savidge claimed the Bee was “responsible for the publication” of the book, and in his 1914 autobiography, Savidge referred to Rosewater as a “staunch friend.” Savidge never forgot how useful a good relationship with newspaper editors could be in promoting his work. One former Omaha reporter recalled that the editor of the Omaha Daily News considered Savidge a “good friend of ours,” and numerous other publications offered consistently favorable impressions of Savidge’s work.

The same year that Savidge published his first book, a plan to put Savidge to work among the poor and destitute in Omaha gained momentum. The plan, which had the backing of some of Omaha’s leading businessmen, was to set up a church and a school in an area in town known as “Hell’s Half-Acre.” Savidge, said to have “peculiar fitness” for the work, would bring his brand of practical Christianity to the masses deemed by respectable society as most in need of the message. In 1889, Savidge, under the auspices of the Methodist Church, launched what he called the “People’s Church.” Services were held at Boyd’s Opera House each Sunday, and even though Savidge still identified himself as a Methodist minister, the new church had a nondenominational character influenced by the holiness movement. On top of his work at the People’s Church, Savidge began raising support for a new nondenominational
charitable institution, which he said “will be managed in the interest of no church or religious creed simply to do good.” His star seemed to be rising on a national level, too, as excerpts from his sermons were quoted in the Chicago Tribune, and the New York Times interviewed Savidge about his support of temperance advocate Francis Murphy.

Though reports of Savidge’s work at the People’s Church were mostly glowing, the enterprise at Boyd’s Opera House proved unsustainable. Six months after Savidge began services at the People’s Church, he was reassigned to Newman Methodist Church. While there, he experienced “the greatest revival of Holy Ghost religion that up to that time I had ever had any part in.” The revival served to deepen Savidge’s commitment to an emerging branch of the holiness movement deemed “radical” because of its insistence on austere living, stark reliance on the Holy Spirit, and pure churches that were not corrupted by comfort, wealth, and worldly power. He announced from the pulpit that he was putting away his diamond studs and his gold watch, a trademark move for those in the radical wing of the holiness movement. His announcement was a powerful gesture that revealed his frustration with a modern church that he believed had lost its bearing. To Savidge, the church had become a club for the wealthy and a shelter for the worldly to fool themselves into thinking they were truly converted. Finding himself “out of harmony and out of sympathy with the machinery of the modern denominational church,” Savidge believed the church could be made relevant again only through the work of spirit-filled Christians who were especially empowered to take the Christian message to the poor and needy. Only the Holy Spirit could provide the solution to the problems of the industrialized world.

Before 1890 Savidge was moderate in his support of the holiness movement and emphasized instead a pietistic and practical evangelical moralism. So long as Savidge subjected his belief in second-blessing holiness spirituality to the machinations of the Methodist denomination, he caused few problems. However, after his experience at Newman, Savidge became more outspoken about what he believed were the logical implications of holiness movement spirituality. Namely, he came to believe that private piety and private religious experiences were not enough, but that they must be practically applied for the salvation and betterment of others. He believed that he had been given a call from God to work among the poor and distressed, and that “if God talked to man in Bible times, why shouldn’t He now?” His belief caused friction with the Methodist leaders, and by June of 1891, Savidge concluded that “the Methodist people wanted me to call my work by their name, and I saw the inconsistency and impossibility of this.” Believing that “God wanted me to step out where He could speak to me and I could obey Him without asking somebody’s consent,” Savidge gave up his Methodist credentials, and returned to the slums of Omaha to begin, for the second time, his People’s Church movement.

The First People’s Church of Omaha, Nebraska, was formally incorporated in July 1892. The articles of incorporation included the People’s Church statement of purpose, which was to “win lost souls to God, and to build up the church in righteousness and true holiness” and to do “charitable work in accordance with the gospel of Jesus Christ.” In an interview with the Omaha Bee, Savidge, who did not receive a regular salary from the church, gave further insight into the nature of his congregation. It was to be, he said, “absolutely non-sectarian” and “every energy and every effort will be directed toward the elevation, morally and spiritually, of the humbler classes of this city.” The People’s Church shared similarities with the Salvation Army, which was the quintessential expression of holiness movement urban social work during the Gilded Age and Progressive Era. However, the People’s Church was local in its orientation and was influenced by a variety of movements brewing on the fringes of the evangelical establishment at the time. For example, Savidge believed that Christians could be miraculously healed from sickness (a belief often referred to as divine healing) and he advocated premillennialism, which differentiated itself from the dominant Protestant eschatology of postmillennialism because premillennialists believed that Christ would return before the millennium (and thus, before the world had been thoroughly Christianized). Although Savidge’s premillennialism and focus on a literal interpretation of the Bible seem to place him in the company of the fundamentalists, such a label was not used at the turn of the twentieth century, as the fundamentalists were still a couple decades away from gaining any sort of identity. Moreover, Savidge rarely emphasized his belief in premillennialism, and his theological views certainly did not lead him to view social work as simply a means of spiritual salvation, as fundamentalists were inclined to do. Instead, Savidge maintained a firm belief that heaven, at least in part, could be realized on earth. Sounding like a social gospeler, he criticized the Methodist church for telling him...
“the substance of our teaching refers to the future life” and that “if I lived right I should wear golden slippers hereafter” even though “I and my family needed calf-skin shoes here.” Even the typical refrain of liberal theology, that Christianity was simply the “fatherhood of God and the brotherhood of man,” was appropriated by Savidge for a sermon. There is no doubt that the main emphasis of Savidge’s work was the salvation of sinners. Yet, his conception of salvation included the temporal, physical world as well as the spiritual.

Throughout the 1890s, Savidge’s vision of a church designed to improve the earthly and spiritual lot of the poor gained steam in Omaha. The church combined evangelistic work with ideas similar in form to those being attempted by Jane Addams and other social settlement pioneers, which at the time of the founding of the People’s Church were in their incipient stage as a movement. Savidge’s wife, Anna, ran a sewing school for young girls, and boys could attend a rescue home to receive training in a trade or to be sent out to work on farms in western Nebraska. An unnamed wealthy citizen wrote to the Omaha Bee in praise of Savidge’s work of “saving men by making them comfortable physically as well as mentally and spiritually.” Another described the People’s Church as a “new and hitherto untried scheme for the betterment of Omaha” which could be “of incalculable benefit to the material welfare of our proud city” and “bring about a new era in the spiritual and religious interests of not only Omaha, but the whole Christian world.”

On top of his work for the church, Savidge kept himself involved in the day-to-day affairs of the community, giving talks at current event clubs and occasionally discussing local political issues from the pulpit. By 1898, the People’s Church claimed to have roughly two hundred members, all of whom agreed, in typical holiness-theology fashion, to live pure lives by abstaining from alcohol, tobacco, and other vices. Those who did not or could not adopt the strict standards of the holiness movement behavioral code were still welcome to attend church, and an estimated three hundred non-members regularly attended services. An 1899 article printed in the Omaha Bee described Savidge as a man “who has left the beaten tracks and blazed a new trail through the forest for himself.” Savidge seemed to agree, declaring that “the People’s church is the church of the future.”

Given the state of the American evangelical world in the 1890s, Savidge’s work truly was pioneering. The rise of a nondenominational understanding of being evangelical was decades away from reality. Instead, a “common-core” evangelical Protestant world was led mostly by the white Presbyterian, Methodist, Congregational, and Baptist denominations, with accommodations made in some locations for newer and smaller sects like the Disciples of Christ or the Christian Churches. There were no fundamentalists or Pentecostals, and even the social gospel was in its infancy. It was in this context that independent holiness churches, partly influenced by the effects of harsh economic conditions, began to increasingly advocate ideas considered radical at the time, such as the redistribution of wealth or drastic...
reliance on the supernatural. A general sense of kinship had previously pervaded the many expressions of the holiness revival, but the era of good feelings increasingly unraveled into rival moderate and radical factions as the turn of the twentieth century dawned. One splinter cell in the early 1900s became the Pentecostal movement, which differentiated itself from the holiness movement by its focus on speaking in tongues as a sign of being filled with the Holy Spirit. More moderate factions coalesced particularly around Phineas F. Bresee’s Church of the Nazarene. Countless other denominations, sects, and independent churches formed as well.

By 1899, Savidge’s travels brought him into contact with another fellow holiness movement pastor, this one from Spokane, Washington. Like Savidge, Daniel N. McInturff had been a Methodist pastor before forming his own congregation in Spokane in 1896, which was also called the People’s Church. McInturff’s People’s Church, like Savidge’s, emphasized social work, and McInturff apparently had an entire city block devoted to his spiritual and social enterprises. Also like Savidge, McInturff was a believer in more radical doctrines like premillennialism and divine healing. McInturff, though, seemed to emphasize the doctrines more aggressively than Savidge.

In December 1899, McInturff came to Omaha on Savidge’s invitation for the purpose of carrying out revival work. Within a few weeks, announcements in both Spokane and Omaha newspapers declared that the People’s Churches of Omaha and Spokane would be joining forces with the goal of launching new People’s Churches throughout the West. The reports were mostly celebratory, declaring it a “notable epoch in the religious work of Omaha.”

Soon after the initial announcement, plans were unveiled for a new three-story church to be built in Omaha, a building which would include reading rooms, baths, lodging, and a gym and auditorium. The church building was to be used to “touch every phase of downtrodden life” and was especially targeted to help working girls and railroad men.

As Savidge and McInturff set the details in motion for their merger, they also began to drum up financial support for the massive new project. When Savidge got word that noted social gospeler Charles Sheldon, the author of In His Steps and a Congregational pastor in Topeka, would be running a newspaper “as Jesus would” for a week, he decided to make a trip to Topeka to raise support for his new endeavor. With People’s Church member and future U.S. Congressman C. O. Lobeck as company, Savidge reached Topeka in March 1900, but was told there was no available space in the newspaper. A disappointed Lobeck sardonically remarked that “I don’t believe Jesus would have turned us down after we had come 250 miles.”

Anna Savidge, From Our Philip: A Tribute, a privately printed booklet in memory of the Savidges’ two-year-old son who choked to death on a button in 1896.
Undeterred, Savidge and McInturff continued with the merger plans for two more months, until the enterprise suddenly came to a crashing halt in May of 1900.

It is difficult to comprehend all of the specifics related to the failed merger of People’s Churches. However, some basic facts can be ascertained from the newspaper coverage. The first report of the split came on May 22, 1900, while Savidge was visiting Spokane. Apparently, McInturff denounced Savidge from the pulpit because Savidge associated with gamblers and other “wicked people.” As to McInturff’s charge that Savidge was on friendly terms with those typically seen by holiness advocates as worldly, there can be no doubt. Savidge made a career out of his strong relationships with those who did not share his religious beliefs. A logical outgrowth of his holiness theology was his belief that the Holy Spirit empowered him to work closely with sinners without fear of contamination. Along with his friendship with Omaha’s worldly newspaper editors, Savidge was considered a friend of Omaha’s notorious madam, Anna Wilson, and even attended her funeral.82 In his sermons, he often spoke of how sinners acted more Christ-like than those who professed to be Christians. When he needed money in a pinch, he turned not to a Christian but instead to a “gambler, one of my neighbors . . . who knows he is wicked and says so, but who, thank God, is neither a Pharisee nor a hypocrite.”83

Savidge could also be open-minded in his approach to immigrants and Catholics, two (often interlocking) groups who frequently caused fear in the Protestant establishment at the time. Savidge did not see immigrants as a corrupting influence on the morals of Americans. Instead, he believed that Americans were the negative influence on immigrants. According to Savidge, “Americans have taught other nations how to swear and drink whiskey” and “the Frenchman takes wines, the German takes beer, the Scotchman takes ale, the Irishman takes whiskey, but the American takes anything he can lay his hands on.”84 In 1890s Omaha, when an anti-Catholic organization called the American Protective Association gained prominence and even support from some of Omaha’s clergy and local municipal leaders, Savidge dismissed the claims of the APA, saying “I have heard frightful stories of what the Catholics were about to do” but “all I have to say is that these prophecies have been long in coming to pass.”85 Savidge could be fiery from the pulpit in denouncing what he regarded as sin, but he saved most of his venom for those within the church instead of outside.86 “If people want to go to hell,” he once remarked, “I don’t see why they can’t be glad about it and go with a shout, instead of hypocritically going to hell through the churches.”87

McInturff, on the other hand, could not countenance Savidge’s association with sinners. McInturff was likely also engaging in a preemptive power...
move to keep himself in control of the nascent movement. Savidge did not take McInturff’s rebuke lying down. Instead, he went on the attack himself and accused McInturff of taking advantage of Jane Mitchie, a wealthy widow living near Omaha who loaned McInturff $500. Per the contract, McInturff provided no collateral and would pay no interest on the loan for three years, a fact which both Savidge and Mitchie claimed to be unaware of at the time McInturff borrowed the money. Savidge accused McInturff of dishonesty and used the Mitchie incident as ammunition in June 1900, when he held a meeting to convince members of his Omaha congregation to withdraw from their merger with the Spokane branch.

Although most of the congregation decided to support Savidge, four of his most important allies, including three deacons in the church and city councilman C. O. Lobeck, sided with McInturff. With his church split apart, the loss of influential members, and the public embarrassment of having vicious accusations bandied back and forth in the press, Savidge’s People’s Church movement never fully recovered its previous momentum, even though it did fare better than McInturff’s church. The failure of the merger, even if it seems inevitable in hindsight, was certainly not a foregone conclusion. It occurred in a wide-open era for the development of new national holiness denominations. Leading newspapers from two major cities had reported favorably on the initial developments of the enterprise, and a number of respectable citizens participated in aiding the movement. Given the checkered and volatile history of some holiness and Pentecostal denominations still in existence today, it would not be a stretch to imagine a world in which People’s Churches could have dotted the landscape from Omaha to Spokane.

The spectacular public failure had a profound impact on Savidge, turning him ever more reliant on God. He had spent the 1890s leading a church that emphasized the need for a postconversion spiritual experience of sanctification. Yet, according to Savidge’s 1914 autobiography, it was not until 1901 that he himself received the baptism of the Spirit. He linked his increasing connection to God specifically with the McInturff debacle, writing that he began receiving visions “in earnest” from God “when a great western preacher . . . crossed my path.” Savidge’s visions warned him about McInturff, and his wife supposedly recognized that McInturff was a thief. However, Savidge did not heed the warnings, and McInturff, according to
Savidge’s exaggerated narrative, “stole everything that was not nailed down . . . split the church and left me destitute and broken-hearted.”

Following in the pattern of spiritual self-narratives common among those in the holiness movement, it was the moment of crisis brought on by the collapse of his church that caused Savidge to “give the death yell.” Emerging from the depths of despair, he wrote that in the “deep recesses of my soul was poured the baptism of divine life and fire” and that he experienced “such delight I never knew it was possible for a being on earth to enjoy.” Savidge’s account of his shift in 1901 to ever-more expressive spiritual experiences is corroborated by a mention in April 1901 in the *Omaha Bee* of a “peculiar . . . experience meeting” at the People’s Church in which “shouts of gladness and tears of joy saw the outpouring of the Holy Ghost upon the multitude.” The experience of the Holy Spirit, though rooted in a desire to encounter Pentecost as the Christians in the New Testament did, empowered Savidge forward in the modern world. It also seemed to make him more combative.

In the years after 1901, Savidge engaged in a number of headline-grabbing spats. After leading a 1906 Colorado revival alongside faith healer Finis Yoakum, Savidge left in disgust because “sick and crippled people are imposed upon by fake healers in the name of religion.” Savidge also accused Yoakum of being a “fanatic” because Yoakum preached that “godly” women should continue to submit to and follow their “ungodly” husbands. “What we need today,” Savidge said, “is spirit-filled men and women, but we need to be level-headed men and women, too.” Although Savidge had denounced Yoakum’s faith-healing practices, Savidge clearly believed in modern-day manifestations of the miraculous. From 1904 until 1914 Savidge famously attempted to save a notorious pickpocket and thief nicknamed “Fainting Bertha.” In 1913, Savidge announced plans to cast the devils out of Bertha through prayer. Naturally, newspaper editors were curious about this “interesting experiment from the modern viewpoint.” A month after the demons were supposedly cast out, all seemed to be well. “It looks as if Fainting Bertha was more susceptible to Savidge methods than to savage ones,” the *Omaha Excelsior* commented, “can it be true that Omaha’s people’s preacher has actually reformed the woman?” By May of 1914, however, Bertha was back to her old ways, causing Savidge to publically “wash his hands” of her and admit that she would have to work out her own salvation.

Politics were not off-limits to Savidge. After 1901, he became a more militant proponent of Prohibition, using the pulpit to rebuke Omaha’s mayor for refusing to enforce Sunday closings of saloons and also to urge his congregation to pray that Prohibition
opponent Dr. Thomas J. Mackay, a rector at All Saints Episcopal, would repent.\textsuperscript{100} He angered fellow Republicans in 1904 and made the pages of the Chicago Tribune when he preached a sermon titled “Should we consider morals in politics, or shall I vote for John H. Mickey for Governor?” Mickey was a staunch Methodist with possible social gospel proclivities, but Savidge lambasted the incumbent gubernatorial candidate for Mickey’s alleged moral failures.\textsuperscript{101} According to Savidge, Mickey was a “modern Shylock” who “grinds the face of the poor” by charging high interest rates.\textsuperscript{102} Savidge’s claims did little to sway voters, and Mickey won a second term. Savidge’s accusations, even though they were likely misguided, revealed his continuing impulse to fight for the poor and disenfranchised. In the early 1890s, it led him to split from his Methodist denomination and form a new church. In 1904 it led him to break from his party and denounce the Republican candidate. In both cases, though, Savidge’s approach was essentially focused on himself as the prophetic individual sent from God to speak out against societal sin. He showed little interest in reforming the complicated political, social, and economic structures that were likely doing much more damage to the poor and destitute.

\textbf{Savidge’s post-1901 activities} were not exclusively devoted to controversy. He continued to pour himself into a variety of social and religious initiatives in Omaha and beyond. He also continued to use his People’s Church as an institution of relief and aid for the poor. For example, he raised money for a new reading room in the church, and he also organized a soup kitchen for children.\textsuperscript{103} He embraced modern techniques such as advertising and film, and used them to bring publicity to his work. Newspapers took notice of his willingness to merge the world of marketing with the ministry, and Savidge even gave a lecture on “Grace, grit, and greenbacks in modern advertising” to an Omaha advertising club.\textsuperscript{104} As early as 1912, Savidge began showing movies for free at his church, telling one newspaper reporter, “Many people attend picture shows who can’t afford to do so . . . it will be a work of charity to provide them a place where they can be entertained free of charge.”\textsuperscript{105} Omaha newspapers continued to
recognize Savidge’s unique work. They described it in 1906 as “a distinctly Omaha organization, which has cared less for membership than for activity.” His longest-lasting social initiative was launched in 1906 with the creation of the “House of Hope,” a home for the aged and indigent that is still in existence today. Notable Omaha residents George Payne and Luther Kountz were vice-president and secretary of the home, evidence of Savidge’s ability to maintain a friendly relationship with leading men in Omaha’s business community.

The social program most dear to Savidge’s heart, however, was his role as a “marrying parson.” With a combination of advertising, publicity, and a willingness to perform on-the-spot marriages for obliging couples, Savidge earned nationwide fame by performing over 6,600 weddings in his lifetime. Along with providing an extra source of income, his marrying work was clearly a practical attempt to solve one of the perceived social ills at the time. He claimed that he had “made a special study of the effect of marriages upon the community and the individuals” and concluded that “the greatest tragedy of this age” was “the single life men and women are leading.” He suggested that “we can never beat the evils of the present day except the people enter the marriage relation and establish their own homes.”

The Boston Globe described Savidge in 1920 as “so much in earnest about the marriage question that he carries advertisements in Sunday newspapers in many large cities.”

His zeal for encouraging men and women to get married caused him to create a matrimonial bureau at the People’s Church, which included a secretary, a matchmaking service, and a courting room for use by couples. His entrance into the
matchmaking business seemed to be inspired by the publicity he received in 1904, when a Nebraska rancher wrote to Savidge asking for help finding a wife. Savidge eventually obliged, and the oddity of having a minister playing Cupid caught the attention of the New York Times. Soon, Savidge began receiving letters from women and men all over the country looking for a spouse. At one point, Savidge attempted to take his matchmaking business global, telling the Boston Globe that “American women seem to be crazy to get on four-inch heels, hose so thin they can be seen right through, and corsets so tight they can’t bend over.” The American farmer, Savidge argued, had no choice but to “go to Europe to get his wife.” Savidge’s plan to send a ship full of eligible European ladies back to the waiting arms of Midwestern ranchers apparently never reached fruition.

Savidge spent a lifetime roaming the streets of Omaha and beyond, seeking to convict people of sin and to help them receive power from the Holy Spirit in order to live godly lives in ungodly circumstances. For all his effort, in 1929 he looked at the state of his city and felt that Omaha was in worse spiritual condition than it had been when he first began his work. In a speech given to the Omaha Philosophical Society he claimed that people were more dishonest, newspapers were more demoralizing, parents were less responsible, and drunkenness and prostitution in the city were worse than ever before. Savidge had long since turned against Prohibition, arguing that it created a “taste for liquor” and that noted Prohibitionist and evangelist Billy Sunday was a “false prophet” for suggesting it would cure society’s ills. If the city in which he served failed to live up to his expectations, and if his efforts did not result in any lasting structural impact on Omaha, then what, exactly, had Savidge been able to accomplish in his unique career? There is no doubt that Savidge did provide many individuals with temporary aid and comfort. It must be noted, however, that perhaps no one benefitted more from Savidge’s many reform-oriented efforts than Savidge himself.

Historian Susan Curtis has argued that the social gospel “began in opposition to industrial developments” but “eventually mirrored and affirmed important dimensions of their emerging culture.” It transitioned from a movement zealous to reach the poor and oppressed, to one which “created an agenda of reform that gave anxious middle-class Americans purposeful work” and which ultimately “helped ease the transition from Protestant Victorianism to a secular consumer culture.” Savidge’s career is emblematic of the same transition. In 1890, Savidge felt called to throw away his diamonds and gold, to reject a regular salary, and to create a church aimed at reaching the poor. In doing so, he unwittingly opened up a world of possibilities to create a name for himself and to provide himself with challenging, meaningful work. Furthermore, by rejecting a regular salary, Savidge actually increased his personal income. Freed from denominational obligations, he pursued other sources of income, and he had the time to perform thousands of marriages and funerals. It was estimated in 1921 that Savidge had made $35,000 in free-will donations for his marriage services alone. For comparison’s sake, the average salary of a Methodist minister in 1916 was $1,223, a rate at which twenty-nine years of service would be needed to reach $35,000. Considering that Savidge also accepted regular free will donations from the People’s Church, performed thousands of funerals, accepted regular speaking engagements, and published four books, it appears that he did quite well for himself financially. There is no doubt that
Savidge was doggedly active in his work among the poor in Omaha. Yet, Savidge is another example that even though Progressive Era social reform often appeared to be focused on bettering the lives of the poor, it tended to provide as much help, if not more, to the careers of sociologists, social gospelers, and holiness movement pastors.

In the foreword to his autobiography, Savidge’s son Mark described a smooth wooden board, two feet long and three feet wide, that hung above the door of his father’s Omaha home. Carved into the board in plain lettering was Savidge’s life motto: “Have faith in God.” In many ways, the board was a symbol of both Savidge’s life and of the epoch in which Savidge lived. Its handmade craftsmanship reflected the agrarian, producerist values of the “old” America. At night, however, the wood board was transformed into a beacon of modernity as electricity pulsed through wiring to light up the words for passers-by. One would be hard pressed to find a better representation of Savidge’s fifty-year career as Omaha’s eccentric religious reformer. As a revivalist, pastor, entrepreneur, and matchmaker, Savidge took the simple old-time religion of the American frontier that said “Have faith in God” and transmitted it in the most modern of ways, and to the most modern of communities—the industrialized city. The church that Savidge started did not survive, disappearing from the Omaha City Directory church listings by the early 1950s. Yet the innovative religious spirit utilized by Savidge in the name of “back-to-the-Bible” Christianity continues in the United States today.
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